

FACTORS INFLUENCING ADHERENCE TO HEMODIALYSIS IN ADULTS WITH END-STAGE RENAL DISEASE

Alia Islam Choudhary^{*1}, Irum Zubair², Farhat Parveen³

^{*1}Charge Nurse, DHQ Teaching Hospital Gujranwala, Pakistan ^{2,3}Nursing Officer, District Headquarter Hospital Khanewal, Pakistan

^{*1}aliachaudhary444@gmail.com

Corresponding Author: *

| DOI: <u>https://doi.org</u> | /10.5281/zenodo.150494 | 44 | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Received | Revised | Accepted | Published |
| 25 January, 2025 | 25 February, 2025 | 12 March, 2025 | 19 March, 2025 |

ABSTRACT

The management of End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) through hemodialysis is critical for prolonging life and enhancing the quality of life for affected individuals. Aim of the study is to assess the factors influencing adherence to hemodialysis in adults with end-stage renal disease. The study employed quantitative cross-sectional design. The study involves a sample of 150 adults receiving hemodialysis treatment at selected dialysis centers. The results of study indicate that medication adherence was lowest at 30%, while adherence to fluid intake, dietary restrictions, and treatment was 58.6%, 53.3%, and 57.3%, respectively. The adherence to hemodialysis treatment, medication and fluid restriction had significant association with demographic characteristics of patients. The findings showed poor adherence of patients towards medication. Adherence to hemodialysis treatment can be enhanced by educating patients and providing care in effective way. In this way, the quality of patient care and outcomes can be improved. **Keywords:** Adherence to Hemodialysis; End-Stage Renal Disease; Adults; Fluid Restriction;

Treatment Adherence; Dietary Compliance.

INTRODUCTION

The hemodialysis is a compulsory requirement of End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) patients. However, hemodialysis is a significant challenge and majority of patients failed to follow the treatment (Kim et al., 2021). There are multiple factors that influence the treatment of ESRD patients, these factors include self-efficacy, social support, and status of patients (Iqbal et al., 2021). Self-efficacy is an essential factor that directly effects the adherence levels among patients (Rasyid et al., 2022). As well as, social support showed good adherence to treatment. Socioeconomic status to patients played an essential role in adherence to treatment (Ma et al., 2021).

Healthcare providers must implement a comprehensive strategy for patient care that integrates psychological and social factors influencing treatment adherence (Alhamad et al., 2023). The educational programs for patients is an important strategy to educating them regarding their treatment and strengthen them independently (Fidan & Ağırbaş, 2023). The adherence to hemodialysis treatment is effected by different factors that cause nonadherence to treatment, fluid restriction and medication (Safitri et al., 2023).

Methodology

A descriptive cross sectional study was conducted among 150 ESRD patients who were receiving treatment at various dialysis centers. The sample size was calculated using Slovin's Formula. Data was collected from participants using convenient sampling technique. Adherence to hemodialysis scale was used in the study. Data was collected by distributing self-structured questionnaire to participants. Patients with diagnosis of ESRD and on dialysis treatment were included in the study. ESRD patients with mental abnormality and don't want to participate in the study were excluded from study. Data was analyzed using SPSS version 25. Chi-square test was used to

Results

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics

assess the association between adherence level and demographic factors. This comprehensive approach aims to better understand the many aspects influencing hemodialysis adherence, with the ultimate objective of guiding treatments to enhance patient compliance and health outcomes.

| Tuste 11 soero demographie | endracter istres | 1 | 1 |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|
| Variable | Category | Frequency | Percentage |
| Age | 21-35 years | 23 | 15.0% |
| | 36-45 years | 40 | 26.7% |
| | 46-55 years | 37 | 24.7% |
| | More than 55 years | 50 | 33.3% |
| Gender | Male | 80 | 55.3% |
| | Female | 70 | 46.7% |
| Marital status | Married | 95 | 63.3% |
| | Unmarried | 55 | 36.7 % |
| Education | Illiterate | 78 | 52% |
| | Read and write | 22 | 14.7% |
| | Secondary | 34 | 22.7% |
| | Higher | 16 | 10.% |
| Other diseases you suffer | Diabetes | 61 | 40.7 |
| from | Blood pressure | 34 | 22.7 |
| | Heart disease | 45 | 30 |
| | Other | 10 | 6.7 |
| The period of dialysis | 0-1 Year | 44 | 29.3 |
| | 2-5 year | 78 | 53 |
| | More than 5 year | 28 | 18.7 |

Table 1 summarizes the socio-demographic characteristics of the participants. The majority were aged over 55 years (33.3%), with smaller proportions in the 36.45 years (26.7%), 46-55 years (24.7%), and 21-35 years (15%) age groups. Male participants (55.3%) slightly outnumbered females (46.7%). Most participants were married (63.3%), while 36.7% were unmarried. Educationally, 52% were illiterate, 14.7% could read and write, 22.7% had secondary education, and 10% had

higher education. Regarding comorbid conditions, 40.7% had diabetes, 30% had heart disease, 22.7% suffered from blood pressure issues, and 6.7% reported other diseases. In terms of dialysis duration, the majority (53%) had been on dialysis for 2-5 years, followed by 29.3% for 0-1 year, and 18.7% for more than 5 years. These characteristics provide an overview of the participants' demographics and health profiles.

| Table 2: Hemodialysis Adheren | ce |
|-------------------------------|----|
|-------------------------------|----|

| , | | | | |
|--|---|---------------|--|--|
| Hemodialysis Adherence component | Adherence | Non-adherence | | |
| | n (%) | n (%) | | |
| Medication | 45 (30%) | 105 (70%) | | |
| Fluid intake | 88 (58.6%) | 62 (41.4%) | | |
| Dietary restriction | 80 (53.3%) | 70 (46.7%) | | |
| Treatment | 86 (57.3%) | 64 (42.7%) | | |
| Table 2 shows adherence levels among | was lowest at 30%, while adherence to fluid | | | |
| hemodialysis patients Medication adherence | intake dietary restrictions and treatment was | | | |



58.6%, 53.3%, and 57.3%, respectively. Significant non-adherence, particularly with

medication, highlights the need for targeted interventions.

| Table 3: Association of adherence to hemodialysis | Treatment with demographic factors among ESRD |
|---|---|
| participants. | |

| | Adherence to Hemodialysis Treatment | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| | X2 value | P value | | | |
| Age | 104.0 | 0.002 | | | |
| Gender | 34.481 | 0.001 | | | |
| Marital status | 44.470 | 0.000 | | | |
| Education | 64.64 | 0.002 | | | |
| The Work | 60.203 | 0.000 | | | |
| Other diseases | 82.001 | 0.000 | | | |
| The period of dialysis | 56.706 | 0.001 | | | |

Table 3 shows significant associations between adherence to hemodialysis treatment and demographic factors among ESRD patients. Adherence was linked to age, gender, marital status, education, work status, presence of other disease, and duration of dialysis . These factors significantly influence treatment adherence.

| Table 4: A | Association | of | adherence | to | Fluid | Restriction | with | demographic | factors | among | ESRD |
|------------|-------------|----|-----------|----|-------|-------------|------|-------------|---------|-------|------|
| participan | ts. | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Adherence to Fluid Restriction | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | X2 value | P value | | | | |
| Age | 103.55 | 0.001 | | | | |
| Gender | 41.854 | 0.002 | | | | |
| Marital status | 40.292 | 0.000 | | | | |
| The Work | 52.203 | 0.000 | | | | |
| Other diseases | 53.00 | 0.000 | | | | |
| The period of dialysis | 42.707 stitute for Excellence in Education & Research | 0.003 | | | | |

Table 4 highlights significant associations between fluid restriction adherence and demographic factors, including age, gender, marital status, work status, other diseases, and dialysis duration (p<0.005p < 0.005p<0.005).

| Table | 5: | Association | of | adherence | to | Medication | with | demographic | factors | among | ESRD |
|---------|-----|-------------|----|-----------|----|------------|------|-------------|---------|-------|------|
| partici | pan | ts. | | | | | | | | | |

| | Adherence to Medication | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| | X2 value | P value |
| Age | 81.505 | 0.002 |
| Gender | 35.846 | 0.001 |
| Marital status | 45.703 | 0.003 |
| Education | 60.631 | 0.000 |
| The Work | 40.203 | 0.001 |
| Other diseases | 72.00 | 0.001 |
| The period of dialysis | 36.707 | 0.000 |

Table 5 shows significant associations between medication adherence and age, gender, marital status, education, work status, other diseases, and dialysis duration (p<0.005p < 0.005p<0.005).

Discussion

This study found that older patients (55+ years) had higher adherence to hemodialysis, a result supported by a study that showed higher adherence to hemodialysis (Safi et al., 2024), though (Asadizaker et al., 2022) noted that younger, more educated patients might also show strong adherence. Males exhibited



slightly better adherence than females, aligning with (Tommel et al., 2021), with gender differences potentially linked to cultural and socio-economic factors. Married patients showed better adherence, consistent with (Parviniannasab et al., 2024), due to stronger social support.

Education level was inversely related to adherence, with illiterate patients showing poorer adherence, as seen in (Almutary & Tayyib, 2021). Comorbidities, particularly diabetes and heart disease, were linked to lower adherence, supporting (Bakri et al., 2022) & (Alzahrani & Al-Khattabi, 2021).. Longer dialysis durations (2-5 years) were associated with better adherence, though this contrasts with findings by (Agustina et al., 2024), who linked long-term dialysis to burnout.

Medication adherence was low (30%), consistent with (Al Muchtari et al., 2023) and (Perdana & Miaofen, 2021), highlighting the need for interventions like reminder systems. These are in agree with a study that reported moderate adherence to fluid restriction (Rahmi et al., 2024). Similar results were reported by another study (Ainun et al., 2022). (Liu et al., 2021) also supported these findings.

Conclusion

The results show that hemodialysis adherence is influenced by age, gender, marital status, education, comorbidities, and dialysis duration. Among older patients, males, married couples, and those with more education, adherence was higher. About 30% patients showed medication adherence and adherence to fluid intake was also low.

Recommendations of Study

1.education programs should be arranged for patients to improve adherence to treatment, medication and fluid restriction.

2. Nurses, doctors, and healthcare providers should provide holistic care to patients to improve the quality of care.

3. Encouraging the patients to self-manage the adherence to medical advices to properly adhere the treatment.

REFERENCES

Agustina, F., Yusra, A., & Taufiq, S. (2024). Compliance of Patients Undergoing Hemodialisis with Recovery Time Post-Dialysis. Jurnal Keperawatan, 9(2), 172-186.

- Ainun, K., Yunita, S., Fauziah, Y., Ramadani, D., Zaen, N. L., & Simbolon, F. R. N. (2022). The Influence of Health Education on Patient Adherenceof Diet Therapy Treatment in the Hemodialysis Unit at Haji Medan Hospital of North Sumatera Government. Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results, 13.
- Al Muchtari, T. A., Syukri, M., & Yusni, Y. (2023). Association between caregiver burden in family and hemodialysis compliance of chronic kidney disease patients in Aceh, Indonesia. Narra J, 3(3).
- Alhamad, M. A., Almulhim, M. Y., Alburayh, A. A., Alsaad, R. A., Alhajji, A. M., Alnajjar, J. S., Alhashem, S. S., Salah, G., & Al Sahlawi, M. (2023). Factors affecting adherence to hemodialysis therapy among patients with end-stage renal disease attending in-center hemodialysis in Al-Ahsa Region, Saudi Arabia. Cureus, 15(10).
- Almutary, H., & Tayyib, N. (2021). Evaluating self-efficacy among patients undergoing dialysis therapy. Nursing Reports, 11(1), 195-201.
- Alzahrani, A. M. A., & Al-Khattabi, G. H. (2021). Factors influencing adherence to hemodialysis sessions among patients with end-stage renal disease in Makkah city. Saudi Journal of Kidney Diseases and Transplantation, 32(3), 763-773.
- Asadizaker, B., Gheibizadeh, M., Ghanbari, S., & Araban, M. (2022). Predictors of adherence to treatment in hemodialysis patients: a structural equation modeling. Medical Journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran, 36.
- Bakri, T. K., Akmal, R., Vonna, A., Desiyana, L. S., & Sari, F. (2022). Correlation between adherence of antihypertensive drugs use and blood pressure control in patients with esrd undergoing hemodialysis. BIOLINK (Jurnal Biologi Lingkungan Industri Kesehatan), 8(2), 229-241.



- Fidan, C., & Ağırbaş, İ. (2023). The effect of renal replacement therapy on healthrelated quality of life in end-stage renal disease: a meta-analysis. Clinical and Experimental Nephrology, 27(10), 829-846.
- Iqbal, M. S., Iqbal, Q., Iqbal, S., & Ashraf, S. (2021). Hemodialysis as long term treatment: Patients satisfaction and its impact on quality of life. Pakistan journal of medical sciences, 37(2), 398.
- Kim, S., Nigatu, Y., Araya, T., Assefa, Z., & Dereje, N. (2021). Health related quality of life (HRQOL) of patients with End Stage Kidney Disease (ESKD) on hemodialysis in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. BMC nephrology, 22, 1-6.
- Liu, M. W.-C., Syukri, M., Abdullah, A., & Chien, L.-Y. (2021). Missing in-center hemodialysis sessions among patients with end stage renal disease in banda aceh, Indonesia. International journal of environmental research and public health, 18(17), 9215.
- Ma, S.-J., Wang, W.-J., Tang, M., Chen, H., & Ding, F. (2021). Mental health status and quality of life in patients with endstage renal disease undergoing maintenance hemodialysis. Annals of Palliative Medicine, 10(6), 6112121-6116121.
- Parviniannasab, A. M., Dehghani, F., & Hosseini, S. A. (2024). The mediating role of hope in the relation between uncertainty and social support with self-management among patients with ESKD undergoing hemodialysis. BMC nephrology, 25(1), 129.

- Perdana, M., & Miaofen, Y. (2021). Factors associated with adherence to fluid restriction in patients undergoing hemodialysis in Indonesia. Journal of Nursing Research, 29(6), e182.
- Rahmi, D. A., Syukri, M., Maulana, T., & Saputra, I. (2024). PREVALENCE AND BURDEN OF DISEASE OF CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE UNDERGOING HEMODIALISIS AT THE REGIONAL GENERAL HOSPITAL dr. ZAINOEL ABIDIN. International Journal of Medical Science and Dental Health, 10(01), 1-16.
- Rasyid, H., Kasim, H., & Sampebuntu, J. (2022). Quality of life in patients with renal failure undergoing hemodialysis. Acta Medica Indonesiana, 54(2), 307.
- Safi, F., Areshtanab, H. N., Ghafourifard, M., & Ebrahimi, H. (2024). The association between self-efficacy, perceived social support, and family resilience in patients undergoing hemodialysis: a cross-sectional study. BMC nephrology, 25(1), 207.
- Safitri, D., Pahria, T., & Rahayu, U. (2023). Factors associated with dietary and fluid restriction adherence of chronic kidney disease patients undergoing hemodialysis. Media Keperawatan Indonesia, 6(3), 198.
- Tommel, J., Evers, A. W., van Hamersvelt, H.
 W., Jordens, R., van Dijk, S., Hilbrands,
 L. B., van Middendorp, H., & Group,
 E.-H. S. (2021). Predicting healthrelated quality of life in dialysis patients: factors related to negative outcome expectancies and social support. Patient Education and Counseling, 104(6), 1474-1480.